[EXTERNAL] Fwd: FYI - Section 301 List 3 comments, as filed

From: [Email Address]

To: "Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR"

Date: Thu, 06 Sep 2018 13:58:39 -0400

Attachments: BC Apple Inc Section 301 List 3 Comments.pdf (714.67 kB); P Apple Inc Section 301 List 3 Comments.pdf (641.04 kB)

FYI.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
> 
>

>
September 5, 2018

The Honorable Robert Lighthizer
United States Trade Representative
600 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20508


Thank you for this opportunity to comment on USTR’s proposal to impose a twenty-five percent duty on $200 billion worth of products imported from China.

The proposed tariff list covers a wide range of Apple products and the products used in our U.S. operations: Apple digital health and wireless connectivity products, including Apple Watch, Apple Pencil and Air Pods; Apple computing tools such as MacMini; Apple adapters, cables and chargers engineered for efficiency and safety; Apple-designed components and made-to-specification tooling for Apple’s U.S. manufacturing and product repair facilities; specialty testing equipment for Apple’s U.S. product development labs; and servers, hard drives and cables for Apple’s U.S. data centers that support our global services such as the App Store.

We have provided a list of the proposed tariff codes that cover Apple’s products in Annex A and a list of some of the hundreds of additional proposed tariff codes that cover Apple’s inputs for U.S. operations in Annex B. We urge the Administration not to apply tariffs on these HTS lines.

Our concern with these tariffs is that the U.S. will be hardest hit, and that will result in lower U.S. growth and competitiveness and higher prices for U.S. consumers.

First, given the balance of Apple’s economic footprint, the burden of the proposed tariffs will fall much more heavily on the United States than on China. The traditional method of calculating the U.S. trade balance attributes the entire value of our products to the country where final assembly is located, in most cases China. That calculation, however, does not reflect the immense value that Apple generates in, and returns to, the United States. Every Apple product contains parts or materials from the United States and is made with equipment from U.S.-based suppliers. And every one of these products reflects the labor of 2 million U.S. workers across all 50 states, including our 80,000 direct employees, the 450,000 employees at our 9,000 U.S. suppliers, and 1.53 million U.S. app developers.1 We expect our total direct contribution to the U.S. economy over the next five years to exceed $350 billion.

1 https://www.apple.com/job-creation/
For example:

- Apple’s direct employees are engaged in a wide range of activities in the United States, including most of our research and development, as well as other critical functions including engineering and testing, retail sales, device repair, facilities construction, and physical- and cyber-security.

- Apple sourced over $50 billion of inputs last year from its 9,000 U.S. suppliers across the country. These suppliers are key to our success, including:
  - Texas-based Finisar, which makes advanced vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers that power some of Apple’s most popular new features, including Face ID, Animoji, and Portrait mode selfies, and also received a $390 million investment from Apple’s Advanced Manufacturing Fund to increase its R&D spending and high-volume production;
  - Kentucky-based Corning, which does advanced glass processing, and also received a $200 million investment from Apple’s Advanced Manufacturing fund to support R&D, capital equipment purchases, and state-of-the-art glass processing; and
  - Massachusetts-based Analog Devices, which produces the semiconductors that enable iOS touch displays.

- Apple is the largest U.S. corporate taxpayer to the U.S. Treasury and pays billions more each year in local property, sales, and employee taxes.

Tariffs increase the cost of our U.S. operations, divert our resources, and disadvantage Apple compared to foreign competitors. More broadly, tariffs will lead to higher U.S. consumer prices, lower overall U.S. economic growth, and other unintended economic consequences. As a result, tariffs will ultimately reduce the economic benefit we generate for the United States.

Second, because all tariffs ultimately show up as a tax on U.S. consumers, they will increase the cost of Apple products that our customers have come to rely on in their daily lives. For example, the proposed tariffs cover Apple Watch, which has become the top-selling smartwatch in the U.S. and globally. Since we introduced Apple Watch a few years ago, we have heard from users about how it has changed, and in some cases saved, their lives.

\[\text{https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N-x8lk9G5Dg}\]
is also being used in conjunction with American universities to help individuals to manage health conditions such as epilepsy and post-heart attack recovery.⁴

Finally, it is difficult to see how tariffs that hurt U.S. companies and U.S. consumers will advance the Government’s objectives with respect to China’s technology policies. We hope, instead, that you will reconsider these measures and work to find other, more effective solutions that leave the U.S. economy and U.S. consumer stronger and healthier than ever before.

We would be pleased to provide any additional information to assist in your decision making, and thank you for your consideration of our comments.

⁴For example, Johns Hopkins University has developed health apps that work in conjunction with Apple Watch: EpiWatch enables people to track in real-time the onset and duration of seizures, which may eventually help predict seizures before they happen; Corrie supports recovery and decreases post-recovery admissions for heart attack survivors.
Annex A — Tariff codes covering Apple products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tariff Code</th>
<th>Apple Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85176200</td>
<td>Apple Watch, AirPods, HomePod, BeatsWL, AirPort, Time Capsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84715010</td>
<td>MacMini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84716090</td>
<td>Apple Magic Mouse and Trackpad, Apple Pencil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84718010</td>
<td>Apple adapters, chargers, cables and cords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85044070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85044085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85044095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85366980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85444220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85444290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42023160</td>
<td>Apple leather covers and cases for iPhone, iPad, MacBook and Apple Pencil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42029190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42050080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annex B — Tariff codes covering Apple inputs for U.S. operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tariff Code</th>
<th>Apple Inputs for U.S. operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84715010</td>
<td>Apple main logic boards with microprocessing units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84733011</td>
<td>Memory modules, Video graphic/audio cards and PCBAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84733051</td>
<td>Apple computer parts (e.g., housings and internal components)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84798994</td>
<td>Equipment used for research, development, testing and engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85366980</td>
<td>Electrical connectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84716070</td>
<td>Apple MacBook internal keyboards and trackpads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85340000</td>
<td>Printed circuit boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
September 5, 2018

The Honorable Robert Lighthizer
United States Trade Representative
600 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20508


Thank you for this opportunity to comment on USTR’s proposal to impose a twenty-five percent duty on $200 billion worth of products imported from China.

The proposed tariff list covers a wide range of Apple products and the products used in our U.S. operations: Apple digital health and wireless connectivity products, including Apple Watch, Apple Pencil and Air Pods; Apple computing tools such as MacMini; Apple adapters, cables and chargers engineered for efficiency and safety; Apple-designed components and made-to-specification tooling for Apple’s U.S. manufacturing and product repair facilities; specialty testing equipment for Apple’s U.S. product development labs; and servers, hard drives and cables for Apple’s U.S. data centers that support our global services such as the App Store.

We have provided a list of the proposed tariff codes that cover Apple’s products in Annex A and a list of some of the hundreds of additional proposed tariff codes that cover Apple’s inputs for U.S. operations in Annex B. We urge the Administration not to apply tariffs on these HTS lines.

Our concern with these tariffs is that the U.S. will be hardest hit, and that will result in lower U.S. growth and competitiveness and higher prices for U.S. consumers.

First, given the balance of Apple’s economic footprint, the burden of the proposed tariffs will fall much more heavily on the United States than on China. The traditional method of calculating the U.S. trade balance attributes the entire value of our products to the country where final assembly is located, in most cases China. That calculation, however, does not reflect the immense value that Apple generates in, and returns to, the United States. Every Apple product contains parts or materials from the United States and is made with equipment from U.S.-based suppliers. And every one of these products reflects the labor of 2 million U.S. workers across all 50 states, including our 80,000 direct employees, the 450,000 employees at our 9,000 U.S. suppliers, and 1.53 million U.S. app developers.¹ We expect our total direct contribution to the U.S. economy over the next five years to exceed $350 billion.

¹ [https://www.apple.com/job-creation/](https://www.apple.com/job-creation/)
For example:

- Apple’s direct employees are engaged in a wide range of activities in the United States, including most of our research and development, as well as other critical functions including engineering and testing, retail sales, device repair, facilities construction, and physical- and cyber-security.

- Apple sourced over $50 billion of inputs last year from its 9,000 U.S. suppliers across the country. These suppliers are key to our success, including:
  - Texas-based Finisar, which makes advanced vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers that power some of Apple’s most popular new features, including Face ID, Animoji, and Portrait mode selfies, and also received a $390 million investment from Apple’s Advanced Manufacturing Fund to increase its R&D spending and high-volume production;
  - Kentucky-based Corning, which does advanced glass processing, and also received a $200 million investment from Apple’s Advanced Manufacturing fund to support R&D, capital equipment purchases, and state-of-the-art glass processing; and
  - Massachusetts-based Analog Devices, which produces the semiconductors that enable iOS touch displays.

- Apple is the largest U.S. corporate taxpayer to the U.S. Treasury and pays billions more each year in local property, sales, and employee taxes.

Tariffs increase the cost of our U.S. operations, divert our resources, and disadvantage Apple compared to foreign competitors. More broadly, tariffs will lead to higher U.S. consumer prices, lower overall U.S. economic growth, and other unintended economic consequences. As a
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the proposed tariffs cover Apple Watch, which has become the top-selling smartwatch in the U.S. and globally. Since we introduced Apple Watch a few years ago, we have heard from users about how it has changed, and in some cases saved, their lives. Apple Watch
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https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N-x8lK9G5Dq
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is also being used in conjunction with American universities to help individuals to manage health conditions such as epilepsy and post-heart attack recovery.\(^4\)

Finally, it is difficult to see how tariffs that hurt U.S. companies and U.S. consumers will advance the Government’s objectives with respect to China’s technology policies. We hope, instead, that you will reconsider these measures and work to find other, more effective solutions that leave the U.S. economy and U.S. consumer stronger and healthier than ever before.

We would be pleased to provide any additional information to assist in your decision making, and thank you for your consideration of our comments.

\(^4\)For example, Johns Hopkins University has developed health apps that work in conjunction with Apple Watch: EpiWatch enables people to track in real-time the onset and duration of seizures, which may eventually help predict seizures before they happen; Corrie supports recovery and decreases post-recovery admissions for heart attack survivors.
Annex A — Tariff codes covering Apple products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tariff Code</th>
<th>Apple Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85176200</td>
<td>Apple Watch, AirPods, HomePod, BeatsWL, AirPort, Time Capsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84715010</td>
<td>MacMini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84716090</td>
<td>Apple Magic Mouse and Trackpad, Apple Pencil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84718010</td>
<td>Apple adapters, chargers, cables and cords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85044070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85044085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85044095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85366980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85444220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85444290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42023160</td>
<td>Apple leather covers and cases for iPhone, iPad, MacBook and Apple Pencil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42029190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42050080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annex B — Tariff codes covering Apple inputs for U.S. operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tariff Code</th>
<th>Apple Inputs for U.S. operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84715010</td>
<td>Apple main logic boards with microprocessing units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84733011</td>
<td>Memory modules, Video graphic/audio cards and PCBAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84733051</td>
<td>Apple computer parts (e.g., housings and internal components)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84798994</td>
<td>Equipment used for research, development, testing and engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85366980</td>
<td>Electrical connectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84716070</td>
<td>Apple MacBook internal keyboards and trackpads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85340000</td>
<td>Printed circuit boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exemption 4
Hi Jamieson, Just FYI. Attached below is our formal submission. Let me know if you have any questions.

Nick
June 17, 2019

The Honorable Robert Lighthizer
United States Trade Representative
600 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20508


Thank you for this opportunity to comment on USTR’s proposal to impose tariffs up to twenty-five percent duty on a fourth set of products imported from China.

The proposed tariff list covers all of Apple’s major products, including iPhone, iPad, Mac, AirPods, and AppleTV, as well as the parts and batteries used to repair products in the United States. The proposed tariffs also cover accessories that Apple makes for these devices, such as monitors and keyboards. A list of the proposed tariff codes that cover Apple’s products is attached at Annex A. We urge the U.S. Government not to impose tariffs on these products.

Apple’s Economic Contribution

Apple is a proud U.S. company and one of the largest job creators in the United States. We are responsible for over 2 million jobs across all 50 states, including Apple’s direct employees, employees at our manufacturing and retail partners, and Americans who make their living in the vibrant and growing app economy.

In 2018, after the passage of tax reform in the U.S., we announced our intention to make a total direct contribution to the U.S. economy of over $350 billion over 5 years and we are pleased to report that we are on track to achieve this contribution. We are opening several new sites and adding new jobs to our U.S. employee base.

Apple is also the largest U.S. corporate taxpayer to the U.S. Treasury and pays billions more each year in local property, sales, and employee taxes.

Finally, Apple’s products are used by American families, students, businesses, government agencies, schools, and hospitals to communicate, teach, improve health outcomes, and enhance creativity and enterprise.
The Impact of Tariffs

U.S. tariffs on Apple’s products would result in a reduction of Apple’s U.S. economic contribution.

U.S. tariffs would also weigh on Apple’s global competitiveness. The Chinese producers we compete with in global markets do not have a significant presence in the U.S. market, and so would not be impacted by U.S. tariffs.¹ Neither would our other major non-U.S. competitors.² A U.S. tariff would, therefore, tilt the playing field in favor of our global competitors.

Conclusion

We urge you not to proceed with these tariffs. Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

¹ See Annex B.
² [ ]
## ANNEX A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tariff Code</th>
<th>Apple Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8517120050</td>
<td>iPhone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8471300100</td>
<td>MacBook, iPad, iPod Touch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85176200</td>
<td>Apple Watch, AirPods, HomePod, BeatsWL, AirPort, Time Capsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8471490000</td>
<td>iMac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8517700000</td>
<td>iPhone repair parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8525501000</td>
<td>AppleTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8528520000</td>
<td>Computer Monitors/MB Monitor Modules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8471602000</td>
<td>Keyboards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8518302000</td>
<td>Wired headphones/earphones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9113908000</td>
<td>Wired speakers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8507600020</td>
<td>Batteries, battery cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apple
One Apple Park Way
Cupertino, CA 95014
T 408 996-1010
F 408 996-0275
www.apple.com
Adding Stewart who will call you tomorrow

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 5, 2019, at 9:17 PM, nick<nick@corp.apple.com> wrote:
> Hi Jamieson,
> As discussed, below are the tariff lines effecting the mac pro.
> Tim and POTUS had a discussion today about this as well which I can fill you in on. Tim was hoping to speak to the Ambassador sometime this weekend if at all possible to follow up.
> Sorry to bother you while you’re on vacation.
> Safe travels.
> Nick
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HS Code Seeking exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim

From: "Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR" <stewart.h.ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Nick [REDACTED] apple.com>
Cc: "Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR" <jamieson.l.greer@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Sat, 06 Jul 2019 09:27:05 -0400

Thanks, Nick. Will give you a call a little later this morning.

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 6, 2019, at 1:35 AM, Nick [REDACTED] apple.com> wrote:
> Thanks, Jamieson.
> Stewart, call whenever works for you: Exemption 6
> Sent from my iPhone
> >> On Jul 5, 2019, at 6:35 PM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >> Adding Stewart who will call you tomorrow
> >> Sent from my iPhone
> >>> On Jul 5, 2019, at 9:17 PM, nick [REDACTED] apple.com> wrote:
> >>> Hi Jamieson,
> >>> As discussed, below are the tariff lines effecting the mac pro.
> >>> Tim and POTUS had a discussion today about this as well which I can fill you in
> >>> on. Tim was hoping to speak to the Ambassador sometime this weekend if at all
> >>> possible to follow up.
> >>> Sorry to bother you while you’re on vacation.
> >>> Safe travels.
> >>> Nick
> >>>
Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim

From: nick@company.com

To: "Ackery, Stewart H. EOP/USTR" <stewart.h.ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Sat, 06 Jul 2019 17:10:11 -0400

Hi Stewart,

Thanks for the update. Any idea what time frame the Ambassador is looking at?

Are you available to connect on Monday to discuss next steps and what you need from us? I want to make sure we are responsive to what you need from us.

Best,
Nick

> On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:27 PM, Ackery, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> 
> Nick,
> 
> Just wanted to let you know that the Ambassador will try to call Tim tomorrow. Unfortunately he’s unable to call today. Will let you know if I hear anything further on timing. Hope you have a good rest of the weekend,
> 
> > Stewart
> 
> > Sent from my iPhone
> 
> >> On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:20 PM, Ackery, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >>
> >> Thanks, Nick. Appreciate the update on the call yesterday. Will let you know if we have any additional questions. I’ll pass along Tim’s number to ARL now. Thanks again,
> >>
> >> > Stewart
> >>
> >> > Sent from my iPhone
> >>
> >>> On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:17 PM, Nick@company.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>> Hi Stewart,
> >>>
> >>> Great to connect. Let me know if you have any additional questions in the short-term. Tim’s cell is: If he misses the call, he will call when back in range. Just FYI.
> >>>
> >>> Thanks again for calling.
> >>>
> >>> Nick
On Jul 6, 2019, at 6:27 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Nick. Will give you a call a little later this morning.

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 1:35 AM, Nick <n@n.com> wrote:

Thanks, Jamieson.

Stewart, call whenever works for you: Exemption 6

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 5, 2019, at 6:35 PM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Adding Stewart who will call you tomorrow

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 5, 2019, at 9:17 PM, nick <n@n.com> wrote:

Hi Jamieson,

As discussed, below are the tariff lines effecting the Mac Pro.

Tim and POTUS had a discussion today about this as well which I can fill you in on. Tim was hoping to speak to the Ambassador sometime this weekend if at all possible to follow up.

Sorry to bother you while you’re on vacation.

Safe travels.

Nick

<HS lines.png>
Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim

From: nick@exemtion6.apple.com

To: "Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR" <stewart.h.ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Mon, 08 Jul 2019 17:51:45 -0400

Hey Stewart, let me know if you have a few minutes to follow up from the call.

Best,
Nick

> On Jul 8, 2019, at 10:07 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >
> > Sure. I can chat now if that works. I can also chat at 2pm est if that’s better for you. My number is Exemtion 6
> >
> > Stewart
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Exemtion6@exemtion6.apple.com <Exemtion6@exemtion6.apple.com>
> > Sent: Monday, July 8, 2019 12:24 PM
> > To: Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>
> > Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim
> >
> > Thanks, Stewart, that’s great. Would it be possible to connect before the call with the Ambassador. Do you have any availability?
> >
> > Best,
> > Nick
> >
> >> On Jul 8, 2019, at 9:03 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >>
> >> Nick,
> >>
> >> Just emailed back to you and Leslie. The Ambassador is hoping to connect with Tim later this afternoon. Depending on whether they can connect, happy to hold time to talk at 130pdt/430est and we can sort out whether it makes sense to push the conversation until after the Ambassador and Tim have spoken. Thanks,
> >>
> >> Stewart
> >>
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: Exemtion6@exemtion6.apple.com <Exemtion6@exemtion6.apple.com>
> >> Sent: Sunday, July 7, 2019 1:04 PM
> >> To: Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>
> >> Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim
> >>
> >> Thanks, Stewart. Would 130pdt/430pdt tmrw work?
> >>
> >> Sent from my iPhone
> >>
On Jul 7, 2019, at 8:46 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Nick,

I’m not sure when the Ambassador will try to call, but will let you know if hear anything from him on that.

I’m around tomorrow and can talk most any time. The only bad times are 930-10 and 11-1130 (eastern time).

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 5:10 PM, nick [redacted]@apple.com wrote:

Hi Stewart,

Thanks for the update. Any idea what time frame the Ambassador is looking at?

Are you available to connect on Monday to discuss next steps and what you need from us? I want to make sure we are responsive to what you need from us.

Best,
Nick

On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:27 PM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Nick,

Just wanted to let you know that the Ambassador will try to call Tim tomorrow. Unfortunately he’s unable to call today. Will let you know if I hear anything further on timing. Hope you have a good rest of the weekend,

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:20 PM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Nick. Appreciate the update on the call yesterday. Will let you know if we have any additional questions. I’ll pass along Tim’s number to ARL now. Thanks again,

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:17 PM, nick [redacted]@apple.com wrote:

Hi Stewart,

Great to connect. Let me know if you have any additional questions in the short-term. Tim’s cell is: [redacted] If he misses the call, he will call when back in range. Just FYI.

Thanks again for calling.
Nick

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 6:27 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Nick. Will give you a call a little later this morning.

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 1:35 AM, Nick <n@apple.com> wrote:

Thanks, Jamieson.

Stewart, call whenever works for you: [exemption]

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 5, 2019, at 6:35 PM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Adding Stewart who will call you tomorrow

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 5, 2019, at 9:17 PM, nick <n@apple.com> wrote:

Hi Jamieson,

As discussed, below are the tariff lines effecting the mac pro.

Tim and POTUS had a discussion today about this as well which I can fill you in on. Tim was hoping to speak to the Ambassador sometime this weekend if at all possible to follow up.

Sorry to bother you while you’re on vacation.

Safe travels.

Nick

<HS lines.png>
Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim

From: Nick\[REDACTED]\@apple.com

To: "Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR" <stewart.h.ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Mon, 08 Jul 2019 13:16:06 -0400

Perfect. I’ll call you @ 2p edt.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 8, 2019, at 10:07 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> 
> Sure. I can chat now if that works. I can also chat at 2pm est if that’s better for you. My number is Exemption 6
> 
> Stewart
> 
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Exemption 6\@apple.com <Exemption 6\@apple.com>
> Sent: Monday, July 8, 2019 12:24 PM
> To: Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>
> Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim
>
> Thanks, Stewart, that’s great. Would it be possible to connect before the call with the Ambassador. Do you have any availability?
>
> Best,
> Nick
>
> >> On Jul 8, 2019, at 9:03 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >>
> >> Nick,
> >>
> >> Just emailed back to you and Leslie. The Ambassador is hoping to connect with Tim later this afternoon. Depending on whether they can connect, happy to hold time to talk at 130pdt/430est and we can sort out whether it makes sense to push the conversation until after the Ambassador and Tim have spoken. Thanks,
> >>
> >> Stewart
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: Exemption 6\@apple.com <Exemption 6\@apple.com>
> >> Sent: Sunday, July 7, 2019 1:04 PM
> >> To: Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>
> >> Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Call with Tim
> >>
> >> Thanks, Stewart. Would 130pdt/430pdt tmrw work?
> >>
> >> Sent from my iPhone
> >>
> >> >> On Jul 7, 2019, at 8:46 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
Nick,

I’m not sure when the Ambassador will try to call, but will let you know if hear anything from him on that.

I’m around tomorrow and can talk most any time. The only bad times are 930-10 and 11-1130 (eastern time).

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 5:10 PM, Nick <nick@yourEmailAddress.com> wrote:

Hi Stewart,

Thanks for the update. Any idea what time frame the Ambassador is looking at?

Are you available to connect on Monday to discuss next steps and what you need from us? I want to make sure we are responsive to what you need from us.

Best,
Nick

On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:27 PM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Nick,

Just wanted to let you know that the Ambassador will try to call Tim tomorrow. Unfortunately he’s unable to call today. Will let you know if I hear anything further on timing. Hope you have a good rest of the weekend,

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:20 PM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Nick. Appreciate the update on the call yesterday. Will let you know if we have any additional questions. I’ll pass along Tim’s number to ARL now. Thanks again,

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 12:17 PM, Nick <nick@yourEmailAddress.com> wrote:

Hi Stewart,

Great to connect. Let me know if you have any additional questions in the short-term. Tim’s cell is: [redacted]. If he misses the call, he will call when back in range. Just FYI.

Thanks again for calling.

Nick
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 6:27 AM, Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR <Stewart.H.Ackerly@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Nick. Will give you a call a little later this morning.

Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2019, at 1:35 AM, Nick @apple.com> wrote:

Thanks, Jamieson.

Stewart, call whenever works for you: exemption 6

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 5, 2019, at 6:35 PM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:

Adding Stewart who will call you tomorrow

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 5, 2019, at 9:17 PM, nick @apple.com> wrote:

Hi Jamieson,

As discussed, below are the tariff lines effecting the mac pro.
Tim and POTUS had a discussion today about this as well which I can fill you in on. Tim was hoping to speak to the Ambassador sometime this weekend if at all possible to follow up.

Sorry to bother you while you’re on vacation.

Safe travels.

Nick

<HS lines.png>
Re: [EXTERNAL] Quick call?

From: nick@apple.com

To: "Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR" <jamieson.l.greer@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Mon, 05 Aug 2019 10:52:43 -0400

Thanks. What time is the meeting?

> On Aug 5, 2019, at 6:04 AM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >
> > Understood
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Exemption 6 <Exemption 6@apple.com>
> > Sent: Monday, August 5, 2019 9:00 AM
> > To: Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov>
> > Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Quick call?
> >
> > Whatever can be done on the front end would be hugely impactful
> >
> > Sent from my iPhone
> >
> >> On Aug 5, 2019, at 5:50 AM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >>
> >> Understood - thanks
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: Exemption 6 <Exemption 6@apple.com>
> >> Sent: Monday, August 5, 2019 8:24 AM
> >> To: Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov>
> >> Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Quick call?
> >>
> >> The biggest impact
> >>
> >> Sent from my iPhone
> >>
> >>> On Aug 5, 2019, at 4:27 AM, Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR <Jamieson.L.Greer@ustr.eop.gov> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> Are you awake? I also have a question for you - kind of hot.
> >>>
> >>> Jamieson
> >>>
> >>> Sent from my iPhone
> >>>
> >>>> On Aug 4, 2019, at 12:43 PM, Nick Ammann <Exemption 6@apple.com> wrote:
> >>>>

1
Hi Jamieson, do you have a few minutes for a follow up question?

Sent from my iPhone
From: Nick Ammann <Exemption 8 apple.com>

To: "Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR" <stewart.h.ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Thu, 19 Sep 2019 17:26:47 -0400

FYI.


Sent from my iPhone
From: nick ammann <exemplon@apple.com>

To: "Greer, Jamieson L. EOP/USTR" <jamieson.l.greer@ustr.eop.gov>, "Ackerly, Stewart H. EOP/USTR" <stewart.h.ackerly@ustr.eop.gov>

Date: Mon, 23 Sep 2019 12:06:21 -0400

Initial coverage: